Answers to additional business exercises

Chapter 6 Descriptive Statistics

Q1. Follow the procedures covered in this chapter to generate <u>appropriate</u> descriptive statistics to answer the following questions:

(a) What percentage of the staff in this organization are permanent employees (use the variable *employstatus*)?

employstatus employment status								
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	1 permanent	328	61.2	62.8	62.8			
	2 casual	194	36.2	37.2	100.0			
	Total	522	97.4	100.0				
Missing	System	14	2.6					
Total		536	100.0					

Not everyone in the sample responded to this question (14 people or 2.6% did not answer). Of the people who responded to this question a total of 62.8% are permanent employees.

(b) What is the average length of service for staff in the organization (use the variable *service*)?

٦

Descriptive Statistics						
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean		

Г

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
service length of service	471	0	43	5.03	5.795
Valid N (listwise)	471				

For this sample years of service ranged from 0 to 43 years, with a mean of 5.03yrs (*SD*=5.80)

(c) What percentage of respondents would recommend the organization to others as a good place to work (use the variable *recommend*)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	0 no	58	10.8	10.8	10.8			
	1 yes	477	89.0	89.2	100.0			
	Total	535	99.8	100.0				
Missing	System	1	.2					
Total		536	100.0					

recommend

Of the people who responded to this question, 89.2% said that they would recommend the organization to others.

Q2 Assess the distribution of scores on the Total Staff Satisfaction scale (*totsatis*) for employees who are permanent versus casual (*employstatus*).

(a) Are there any outliers on this scale that you would be concerned about?

An inspection of the histogram for permanent employees revealed the presence of a low score (10) for total satisfaction. This value is more than 3 standard deviations (7) from the mean (33.9). A comparison of the full sample mean (33.93) and the 5% trimmed mean (33.94) are virtually identical, suggesting that this outlier does not have any major impact on the overall mean score. Therefore it is not necessary to remove or recode this value.

(b) Are scores normally distributed for each group?

The histograms for each group show scores that are reasonably normally distributed. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov value for the permanent employees is statistically significant (Sig=.037) indicating a deviation from normality. Statistical significance however is common with large samples. Given the reasonably normal shape to the distribution I would conclude that there is no serious deviation from normality for this group. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov value for the casual employees is not statistically significant (Sig=.20) indicating no serious deviation from normality.

	employstatus employment status			Statistic	Std. Error
totsatis	1 permanent	Mean		33.93	.407
		95% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	33.13	
		for Mean	Upper Bound	34.74	
				-	
		5% Trimmed Mean		33.94	
		Median		34.00	
		Variance		50.234	
		Std. Deviation		7.088	
		Minimum		10	
		Maximum		50	
		Range		40	
		Interquartile Range		10	
		Skewness		.004	.140
		Kurtosis		148	.279
	2 casual	Mean		34.19	.529
		95% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	33.14	
		for Mean	Upper Bound	35.23	
		5% Trimmed Mean		34.29	
		Median		35.00	
		Variance		49.285	
		Std. Deviation		7.020	
		Minimum		14	
		Maximum		50	
		Range		36	
		Interquartile Range		10	
		Skewness		231	.183
		Kurtosis		119	.364

Descriptives

Tests of Normality

		Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	employstatus employment status	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
totsatis	1 permanent	.053	303	.037	.993	303	.168
	2 casual	.057	176	.200*	.990	176	.271

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Histogram







Normal Q-Q Plot of totsatis



Normal Q-Q Plot of totsatis



Detrended Normal Q-Q Plot of totsatis



Detrended Normal Q-Q Plot of totsatis

